UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,669	03/03/2004	Fumiko Shiraishi	Q80181	2713		
	590 12/22/2006		EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SHEEHAN, JOHN P			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1742			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		12/22/2006	PAI	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/791,669	SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		John P. Sheehan	1742	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
WHIII - Extended after a	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a station. The property of th	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n	* .	
2a)[This action is non-final.		
3)	·		ters, prosecution as to the merit	is is
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice u	•	• •	.5 15
	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the appl			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.	·	
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		1	•
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	pplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	!
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
i ape		٠, 🗀 ٥١١٥١	_ ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, 9, 12, 15, 18, 20, 21, 24 and 25, drawn to a method of making a magnetic particle comprising using a mixing and reaction device having a stirring vane rotating at a high speed in the interior of a mixer, a plurality of solutions are supplied to the interior of said mixer where the solutions are mixed and reacted with each other so that the peripheral speed in a leading end portion of said stirring vane is not less than 5m/second, classified in class 75, subclass 348.
 - II. Claims 3 to 5, 8, 10, 13, 16, 19, and 22, drawn to a method of making a magnetic particle comprising using a mixing and reaction device in which there is provided a reaction vessel which is filled with a bulk liquid and provided therein with a mixer which has a stirring vane rotating at a high speed and is provided with an opening through which said bulk liquid is circulated to and from the interior of said reaction vessel, a plurality of kinds of solutions for preparing said alloy particle are supplied to the interior of said mixer, where the plurality of kinds of solutions are mixed together and caused to react with each other by a liquid phase process, and at the same time the plurality of kinds of solutions are mixed together and caused to react with each other so that a mixed reaction solution is

discharged from said mixer to said reaction vessel by a circulating stream of said bulk liquid, classified in class 75, subclass 348.

- III. Claims 6, 7, 11, 14, 17, 23 and 26, drawn to a method of making a magnetic particle comprising using a mixing and reaction device which is provided with a mixer in the interior of a reaction vessel and has a microgap formed between an inner wall of the mixer and a stirring member rotating at a high speed and in which in order to form this microgap, when the distance from the center of rotation of said stirring member to a leading end thereof is put as 1, the distance to said inner wall having the shortest distance from the center of rotation of said stirring member is set in the range of 1.001 to 1.200, a plurality of kinds of solutions for preparing said alloy particle are supplied to said microgap, where the plurality of kinds of solutions are mixed together and caused to react with each other by a liquid phase process, and at the same time the plurality of kinds of solutions are mixed together and caused to react with each other so that the mixed reaction solution is discharged from said microgap, classified in class 75, subclass 348.
- IV. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to a magnetic powder manufactured by the Group I process and magnetic memory medium containing said powder, classified in class 428, subclass 800+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/791,669

Art Unit: 1742

- 2. The Group I, Group II and Group III inventions are distinct in that they are capable of separate manufacture, use, or sale as claimed and are patentable (novel and unobvious) over each other (though they may each be unpatentable because of the prior art), MPEP 802.01. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 3. Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as chemical vapor deposition or by the processes of Groups II and III. While it is noted that claims 27 and 28 are product-by-process claims and incorporate the same process steps as described in the process of Group I, a product defined by the process by which it can be made is still a product claim (In re Bridgeford, 149 USPQ 55 (CCPA 1966)) and can be restricted from the process if the examiner can demonstrate that the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process such as the alternative process described above. See In re

Application/Control Number: 10/791,669 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324, for analysis of weight given to process step recitations in product claims also see MPEP 2113 and 806.05(f).

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02) and because the examination of all of the above delineated inventions in a single application would require additional analysis of the prior art, formulation of rejections, evaluation of applicants' arguments and consideration of different issues of patentability, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1742

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:45-4:30) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,669

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742 Page 7

JPS